that Hart and Fish were actually observing Ar-COOH $_2^{+,3}$

Before resolving this confusing situation, it is well to explain some of the problems in the n.m.r. studies. Concentrations of $ArCOOH_2^+$ and $ArCO^+$ are equal in 97% H₂SO₄.^{2,4} Thus minor changes in the acidity in this region produce major changes in the n.m.r. spectrum. Furthermore, addition of the 5–10% of $ArCCl_3$ (or ArCOOH) required for the n.m.r. measurements produces a large decrease in the effective acidity, the exact amount being difficult to estimate. With these difficulties in mind, the results were as follows.

96% H_2SO_4 .—Addition of 5% ArCCl₃ to 96% H_2SO_4 produces an n.m.r. spectrum that is identical with that of ArCOOH₂⁺ at the first observation, 2 min. after mixing at 25°. The identity can be unequivocally demonstrated by addition of ArCOOH to the ArCCl₃ solution and showing that the bands of ArCOOH₂⁺ at 2.34, 2.43, and 7.08 are exactly reproduced.

Addition of 10% ArCCl₃ to 99% H₂SO₄ produces an identical spectrum and it is presumed that the reaction of ArCCl₃ with H₂SO₄ has effectively lowered the acidity to the neighborhood of 96% H₂SO₄. We believe that this is what happened in Hart and Fish's experiment.

99% H_2SO_4 .—An equimolar mixture of ArCCl₃ and ArCOOH can be added to 5% SO₃–95% H_2SO_4 to produce immediately the n.m.r. spectrum of ArCO⁺. There is no hint of any second species so that the Ar-CCl₃ must be immediately solvolyzing to ArCO⁺ and the effective acidity must be in the neighborhood of 99% H_2SO_4 . The rate of conversion of ArCCl₃ to ArCO⁺ is orders of magnitude faster than the rates of formation of ArCO⁺ reported by Hart and Roobal⁵ based on studies of the change in visible and ultraviolet absorption spectra with time. Although there would appear to be a direct conflict of results, it is possible that the large amounts of CISO₃H and HCl may catalyze the solvolysis. Clarification of this matter will require further study.

30% SO₃-70% H₂SO₄.—Addition of 5% ArCCl₃ to 30% SO₃-70% H₂SO₄ produces a new species that is neither ArCOOH₂+ nor ArCO⁺. The n.m.r. bands of the new species are at 2.60, 2.94, and 7.47 p.p.m. with areas of 3:6:2. The band positions of ArCO⁺ are at 2.60, 2.73, and 7.41 p.p.m.² so that the two species are clearly distinct although the band position of the *p*-methyl group is identical in each spectrum.

It does not seem likely that a structure such as Ar-C²⁺Cl could produce a spectrum so close to that of ArCO⁺. The positive charge on carbonium ions always causes large downfield shifts in the n.m.r. band positions⁶ and a second positive charge should produce a comparable further shift. In any event, the n.m.r. spectrum is in accord with expectations for a monopositive cation and Gillespie and Robinson's ArC⁺Cl₂ is a likely candidate. The new species is thus provisionally assigned the ArC⁺Cl₂ structure.

100% H_2SO_4 .—The most revealing of the n.m.r. experiments are those in which 5–10% ArCCl₃ is added to 5% SO₃–95% H_2SO_4 . It is possible to achieve systems

(6) N. Deno, Progr. Phys. Org. Chem., 2, 129 (1964).

in which the n.m.r. spectrum of ArC^+Cl_2 is immediately formed, but which shifts to the spectrum of $ArCO^+$ with a half-time of 30 min. for conversion at 25°. Throughout this shift, the n.m.r. bands of ArC^+Cl_2 and $ArCO^+$ remain completely distinct with no change other than the diminution of the ArC^+Cl_2 bands and the rise of those of $ArCO^+$. After several hours, the spectrum of $ArCO^+$ is obtained without hint of any extraneous bands.

It is important to recognize that the n.m.r. spectrum of this new species, provisionally ArC^+Cl_2 , was not reported in any of the papers of Hart and Fish. Thus the report that the n.m.r. spectrum of stable salts of $ArC^{2+}Cl$ in SO₂ is the same as that of the $ArC^{2+}Cl$ ion in $H_2SO_4^7$ raises serious questions regarding their work on the stable salts.

The above evidence pertains exclusively to the case where Ar is the 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl group. The other two cases of supposed $ArC^{2+}Cl$ ions are under study.

Acknowledgment.—We are grateful to the Petroleum Research Fund of the American Chemical Society for support of this work and to the National Science Foundation for funds to purchase the Varian A-60 n.m.r. spectrometer.

(7) H. Hart and J. S. Fleming, Tetrahedron Letters, 983 (1962).

DEPARTMENT OF CHEMISTRY	N. C. Deno
WHITMORE LABORATORY	Norman Friedman
THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY	Joseph Mockus
University Park, Pennsylvania	-

Received October 14, 1964

On Carbonium Ions in Chlorosulfuric Acid

Sir:

It has been claimed previously¹ that polymethyltrichloromethylbenzenes, such as trichloromethylmesitylene (I), dissolve in strong sulfuric acid to give stable dipositive carbonium ions, e.g., II, according to the equation

$$RCCl_{3} + 2H_{2}SO_{4} \longrightarrow RCCl^{2+} + 2HCl + 2HSO_{4}^{-}$$

$$(\nu = 5; \gamma = 2)$$

$$H_{3}C \longrightarrow CCl_{3}$$

$$H_{3}C \longrightarrow CH_{3} + CH_{$$

This conclusion was based mainly on a study of freezing point depressions, which showed that each molecule of RCCl₃ reacts with sulfuric acid to give five particles ($\nu = 5$), and electrical conductivity measurements which showed that two of the particles are hydrogen sulfate ions ($\gamma = 2$).

It has been shown recently² that HCl reacts almost quantitatively with sulfuric acid to form chlorosulfuric acid and it was claimed that the reaction of

 $HCl + 2H_2SO_4 = HClSO_3 + H_3O^+ + HSO_4^-$

RCCl₃ with sulfuric acid is in fact

$$\text{RCCl}_3 + 3\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \longrightarrow \text{RCCl}_2^+ + \text{HClSO}_8 + \text{H}_8\text{O}^+ + 2\text{HSO}_4^- \quad (\nu = 5; \ \gamma = 2).$$

⁽³⁾ A uniform displacement of 0.13 p.p.m. is explained by the fact that Hart and Fish used benzene as an external standard whereas we have used $(CH_3)_4N^+$ as an internal standard.

⁽⁴⁾ W. S. Schubert, J. Donohue, and J. D. Gardner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **76**, 9 (1954).

⁽⁵⁾ H. Hart and N. R. Roobal, *ibid.*, **86**, 1373 (1964).

⁽¹⁾ H. Hart and R. W. Fish, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 5894 (1958); 82, 5419 (1960); 83, 4460, (1961).

⁽²⁾ R. J. Gillespie and E. A. Robinson, ibid., 86, 5676 (1964).



Vol. 86



Fig. 1.—Electrical conductivities of solutions of: A, alkali metal chlorosulfates; B, benzoic acid; C, acetic acid; D, mesitoyl chloride; E, hydrogen chloride; in chlorosulfuric acid.

to give a *monopositive* carbonium ion rather than a *dipositive* species.

We have confirmed the ionization to form a monopositive carbonium ion by studying the electrical conductivities of solutions in chlorosulfuric acid.

Pure chlorosulfuric acid has a small electrical conductivity ($\kappa = 4 \times 10^{-4}$ ohm⁻¹ cm.⁻¹ at 25°). However, alkali metal chlorosulfates dissolve in HClSO₃ to give highly conducting solutions (Fig. 1). Solutions of LiSO₃Cl, NaSO₃Cl, and KSO₃Cl have very similar molar conductances and it seems reasonable to conclude that these salts are fully dissociated in HClSO₃ and that a large proportion of the current is carried by the ClSO₃⁻ ion.

 $MSO_3Cl \longrightarrow M^+ + ClSO_3^-$

Benzoic and acetic acids, which behave as fully ionized bases in sulfuric acid,³ and therefore assuredly are also fully protonated in the stronger chlorosulfuric acid, give solutions with very similar conductances to those of the alkali metal salts (Fig. 1).

 $R'COOH + HClSO_3 = R'COOH_2^+ + ClSO_3^-$

Mesitoyl chloride dissolves in chlorosulfuric acid to give the well-known mesitoyl cation

$$(CH_3)_3C_6H_2COCl + HClSO_3 \longrightarrow$$

 $(CH_3)_3C_6H_2CO^+ + HCl + ClSO_3^-$

This ion was readily identified from its n.m.r. and ultraviolet absorption spectra (Table I). The electrical conductivities of the solutions are slightly greater than those of simple bases. The difference is attributed to the behavior of HCl in chlorosulfuric acid as a weak

$$HCl + HClSO_3 \longrightarrow H_2Cl^+ + ClSO_3^-$$

base and is confirmed by the moderate conductivities of solutions of HCl in $HClSO_3$ (Fig. 1).

(3) R. J. Gillespie and J. A. Leisten, Quart. Rev. (London), 8, 40 (1954).

Fig. 2.—Comparison of the electrical conductivities of solutions of trichloromethylmesitylene O, and mesitoyl chloride \bullet , in chlorosulfuric acid.

Solutions of trichloromethylmesitylene in $HClSO_3$ are rather more stable than those in H_2SO_4 and have conductances which are very similar to those of solu-

 $TABLE \ I$ N.m.r. and Ultraviolet–Visible Spectra of Solutions of Mesitoyl Chloride and Trichloromethylmesitylene in $HClSO_4{}^a \ \text{and} \ H_2SO_4{}^b$

		-HCISO3-			H2SO4		
	N.m.r.¢						
	τ_1	τ_2	73	$ au_1$	72	$ au_3$	
RCOCI	2.64(2)	7.36(6)	7.50(3)	2.63(2)	7.33(6)	7.48(3)	
RCCl₃	2.56(2)	7.13(6)	7.48(3)	2.56(2)	7.12(6)	7.46(3)	
-	λ	m_{ax}, m_{μ}		<u></u>	$\lambda_{max}, m\mu$		
	Ultraviolet-visible ^d						

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{RCOCl} & 282(\text{s}) & 339(\text{s}) & 282(\text{s}) & 339(\text{w}) \\ \text{RCCl}_3 & 283(\text{w}) & 376(\text{s}) & 486(\text{w}) & 281(\text{w}) & 373(\text{s}) & 486(\text{w}) \end{array}$

^a Solutions were quite stable with time. ^b Solutions changed with time. ^c τ -values in p.p.m.; relative areas in parenthesis. ^d s = strong band; w = weak band; spectra not recorded below 260 m μ .

tions of mesitoyl chloride (Fig. 2), consistent with the reaction

$$RCCl_3 + HClSO_3 \longrightarrow RCCl_2^+ + HCl + ClSO_3^-$$

together with partial protonation of the HCl formed. The n.m.r. and ultraviolet absorption spectra of solutions of trichloromethylmesitylene in chlorosulfuric acid are similar to those observed for this compound in 100% sulfuric acid (Table I), and thus we conclude that the same species $RCCl_2^+$ is formed in both solvents.

Lash Miller Chemical Laboratories E. A. Robinson University of Toronto J. A. Ciruna Toronto 5, Ontario, Canada

Received October 26, 1964